LINDA MILLER SAVITT, SBN 094164 (SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY) PHILIP L. REZNIK, SBN 204590 BALLARD, ROSENBERG, GOLPER & SAVITT LLP 500 North Brand Boulevard Twentieth Floor Glendale, CA 91203-9946 Telephone: 818-508-3700 818-506-4827 Facsimile: 5 LAWRENCE A. MICHAELS, SBN: 107260 MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP 11377 W. Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90064 Tel: (310) 312-2000 Fax: (310) 312-3100 8 CAROL A. HUMISTON, SBN: 115592 Senior Assistant City Attorney City of Burbank 275 E. Olive Avenue Burbank, CA 91510 Tel: (818) 238-5707 Fax: (818) 238-5724 11 Attorneys for Defendant 12 CITY OF BURBANK, including the Police Department of the City of Burbank 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 15. OMAR RODRIGUEZ; CINDY GUILLEN-CASE NO: BC 414602 [Assigned to Hon. Joanne O'Donnell, GOMEZ; STEVE KARAGIOSIAN; ELFEGÓ RODRIGUEZ; AND JAMAL Dept. 37 CHILDS, DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN 18 SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE Plaintiffs, NO. 9 TO EXCLUDE ANY 19 REFERENCE TO USE OF THE -VS-TERM "NO HUMANS INVOLVED" 20 BY BURBANK POLICE OFFICERS BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT; CITY OF BURBANK; TIM STEHR; 21 KERRY SCHILF; JAMIE "J.J." PUGLISI; Trial Date: June 8, 2011 (Pltf. Karagiosian) DAN YADON; KELLY FRANK; PAT LYNCH; MIKE PARRINELLO; AARON Discovery Referee: The Hon., Diane Wayne, Ret. KENDRÍCK; DARIN RYBURN; AND 23 DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE. Action filed: May 28, 2009 24 Defendants. 25 26 Plaintiff's cites in his Opposition to his own deposition testimony that another officer 27 referred to a murder suspect/witness, whom Plaintiff himself suspected of possible

Defendant Reply in Support of MIL, No. 9

The suspect/witness spoke Armenian. The deposition testimony does not indicate that even there was any reason to believe, or even that he did believe, at the time that use of the phrase was based on the Armenian ethnicity of the suspect/witness. Yet now he wishes to speculate in front of the jury that this was the case. Clearly, Plaintiff intends to drop this innuendo in front of the jury in order to inflame their passions and prejudice them against Burbank and, just as clearly, no limiting instruction would undo the damage of suggesting that a Burbank police officer referred to a woman who had just been murdered as a sub-human because she (presumably) was Armenian. Nor would the testimony of officers that the term "NHI" referred to criminality and uncooperative behavior rather than referencing ethnicity be sufficient to "unring the bell". There is no colorable argument that this would not be extremely prejudicial, and would be used for the sole purposes of prejudicing the jury by making this innuendo before the Court has a chance to rule the evidence inadmissible.

Such evidence in obviously subject to exclusion pursuant to Evidence Code § 352.

DATED: June 6, 2011

BALLARD ROSENBERG GOLPER & SAVITT, LLP

By: \_\_\_

Attorneys for Defendant

CITY OF BUKBANK, including the Police Department

of the City of Burbank

-2-

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States, and am employed in the County of Los Angeles in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose directions this service was made. I am over the age of 18, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Ballard, Rosenberg, Golper & Savitt, 500 North Brand Boulevard, Twentieth Floor, Glendale, California 91203-9946.

On June 6, 2011, I served the foregoing document described as: DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 9 TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE TO USE OF THE TERM "NO HUMANS INVOLVED" BY BURBANK POLICE OFFICERS

on the interested parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Solomon E. Gresen, Esq. Steven V. Rheuban, Esq. Law Offices of Rheuban & Gresen 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610 Encino, CA 91436 Tel: (818) 815.2727 Fax: (818) 815-2737 seg@rglawyers.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## VIA FACSIMILE; and

(BY FEDEX) I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for delivery by Federal Express. Under that practice, in the ordinary course of business, it would be deposited with Federal Express on that same day with directions for next day delivery, with the Federal Express fees guaranteed to be paid by Ballard, Rosenberg, Golper & Savitt, LLP.

(BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I sent the above-mentioned documents via electronic mail addressed as set forth above.

(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelope(s) by hand to the above-addressee(s).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct under the laws of the State of California. Executed on June 6, 2011 at Glendale, California.

Leslie Reheis

-3-